Complainant's Exhibit No. 20

CC: E. D. Champney, Jr. - Wilm.

A. J. Dahl - ESL

D. K. Duncan - Wilm.

L. J. Papa - ESL

J. W. Raines - Wilm.

R. J. Burger

C. R. Campbell

J. F. Doughty 3

D. A. Erdman

L. W. Goin

J. G. Loschiavo

T. L. Schrenk

R. N. Taylor

February 17, 1981

TO:

DR. Y. L. POWER

FROM:

PAUL THISTLETON



CORRECTION OF ORGANIC FLUORINE IN BLOOD RESULTS FOR RECOVERY

The recent comparison of Torch and C-8/GC results for 27 blood samples made at ESL indicated that C-8/GC results were about 25% above uncorrected Torch results. This led to a review of 1979 results (8 samples in June and 78 samples in August, 1979) which is reported in L. J. Papa's January 23, 1980, letter "Comments on Validity of 1979 Fluorine in Blood Results", (copy attached).

Following Papa's recommendations the results obtained by Bomb and Torch methods have been corrected for recovery as indicated below. Bomb data obtained for August, 1979 samples require an additional correction factor of 1.18 to compensate for deterioration of the reagent (#5 in Papa's letter), combining this with 92% recovery gives a correction factor of 1.28.

Sampling Period	Analytical Method	Correction Factor		
June, 1979	Bomb	1.09		
August, 1979	Bomb	1.28		
December, 1979	Bomb	1.09		
August, 1980	Torch	1.20		

Results are given in Table I. Only the 1979 samples that were repeated in 1980 are included.

There is good agreement between Torch results (Columns 5 and 6) and C-8/GC results (Column 7) which were obtained on the same samples. There is no obvious trend of results with time. A better comparison, including statistical analysis, will be possible when the rest of the 78 people will be analyzed only by the C-8/GC method. Their uncorrected by 28% to allow comparison with C-8/GC results.

Attachment

PT/nsw

EID079383

TABLE I

COMPARISON OF BLOOD ANALYSES

						•		
	1979 SAMPLES BOMB ANALYSIS - JL			AUC	AUGUST 1980 SAMPLES TORCH ANALYSIS C-8/GC			
IDENTIFICATION	PP	m Organ	ic Fluor	ine AUGUST	ppn	Organic	Fluorine	
NUMBER	JUNE	X 1/09	AUGUST	X 1.28	ESL (2) JL		
	-]-	-2-	-3-	(-4-)	-5-		ESL	
No Direct Exposure					-3=	-6-	E7-	
11/	•		11/1				et e	
2 1			16/1	- "	0.29		0.022	
Professionals			1001			0.2	0.015	
3/			I/X-I				N	
4.			121	-	0.04		0.22	
5 /		100 100	17/	_	0.53		0.40	33
Manager O			0.45	0.58		0.4	0.19	. J
Monomer Operators			141		<i>5</i> ·		2	? ?
7 /			0.39	0.50	1.0		, <u>i</u> .	
9 /			5/3	6.8 8.6	6.2	6.0	0.78 6.4	
Fine Powder Dispersion	<u>n</u>	1			7.8	8.1	8.2	
Zone 6)`[
101	1 /-		//					
12 √	22,2 / 2/	1.6	27.7	27.1	24.4	25.3	24.0_	
13 /		5.4 1	3/8	11.1 17.7	11.6 19.8	12.4	13.0_	
15 / -			1.8 -	26.6 E2.3		28.0	29.0	
Zone 4	e				4.0	4.6	4.6_	
16√								
			7/8 -	2.3	5.5	5.5	5.6_	
Granular			XI					
Zone 6			(\cdot)					
			71					
17√		jò	47 -	0.60	1.7	2.0	16	
		1	1			∞ • V	1.9	

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

EID079384

1979	SAMPLI	ES.	400.0
BOMB AND	LYSIS	-	元(1)

AUGUST 1980 SAMPLES TORCH ANALYSIS C-8/GC

						1111111111	C-0/GC
IDENTIFICATION		ppm Organ JUNE	ic Fluori	ne AUGUST	ppm 0	rganic F	luorine
NUMBER	JUNE	X 1.09	AUGUST	X 1.28	ESL (2)	亚	ESL
	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-	
FEP Polymerization					_	-0-	-7-
18 \(\) 19 \(\) 20 \(\) 21 \(\) 22 \(\) 23 \(\) 24 \(\) 25 \(\) 26 \(\)	3.61	1.5 3.9	0.99 3.7 1.99 4.96 4.14 4.52 2.71 4.64	1.53 4.7 2.5 6.3 5.3 5.8 3.5	1.3 4.1 3.5 6.7 4.4 4.9 2.5 7.7	3.5 3.1 7.7	1.5 4.0 3.2 6.6 5.5 4.9 2.9
		1.01	0.91	1.2	1.2	1.0	7.8
FEP Service		તી, જ				- 10 TAN	
D					1.1		0.72
Research Semiworks							
28 √					0.5	0.4	0.26

JL = Jackson Laboratory

(2) ESL = Experimental Station Laboratory

Notes on Columns

-l- Part of first WW sampling (total of 8 samples). Data in this column is enclosed in a block to indicate that it should not be compared with corrected data.

-2- Column -1- X 1.09 correction factor.

-3- Part of second WW sampling (total of 78 samples, including resample of original 8 samples). Data in this column is enclosed in a block to indicate that it should not be compared with corrected data.

-4- Column -3- X 1.28 correction factor.

-5- August 1980 sampling for comparison of analytical methods (total of 28 samples)* analyzed by Torch method at ESL. Results corrected for 83% recovery demonstrated for Torch method by E. Kissa, Jackson Laboratory.

-6- August 1980 sampling for comparison of analytical methods analyzed by Torch method at Jackson Laboratory. Results

corrected for 83% recovery.

-7- August 1980 sampling for comparison of analytical methods analyzed by C-8/GC method at ESL. 100% recovery assumed.

Correction factors and recovery are taken from L. J. Papa's letter "Comments on Validity of 1979 Fluorine in Blood Results",

* Sample No. 11 is not reported because unusually large variation in results was found. Analysis will be made on a new sample.

PT 2/17/81

EID079385